

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 10/711,489 | MUNGALL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Jong-Suk (James) Lee | 3673 | |

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Rejection(s) discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's attorney to present changes to abovementioned claim, especially to clarify the claim scope. It was agreed that -- across topographical feature -- be inserted to the connecting feature in the body of the claim. Claims 1 and 3-33 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).